

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau(43) International Publication Date  
5 July 2001 (05.07.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 01/48694 A1**(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>:

G06T 5/00

(74) Agents: KOZLOWSKI, Holly, D.: Dinsmore &amp; Shohl LLP, 1900 Chemed Center, 255 East Fifth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202 et al. (US).

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US00/35323

(22) International Filing Date:

22 December 2000 (22.12.2000)

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

60/173,423 29 December 1999 (29.12.1999) US

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).


(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): APPLIED SCIENCE FICTION, INC. [US/US]; 8920 Business Park Drive, Austin, TX 78759 (US).

Published:

— With international search report.

*[Continued on next page]*

(54) Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS TO PROVIDE INTERACTIVE IMAGE CORRECTION FOR HEAVILY DAMAGED IMAGES

**WO 01/48694 A1**

(57) Abstract: A system and method for correcting defects in images. A secondary defect map, defining heavily damaged portions of the image which were not corrected automatically, is generated. The secondary defect map allows the user to correct the defects by highlighting the uncorrected areas. Once the secondary defect map is generated, if the user selects the defect, it is filled in with a correction value obtained from surrounding "good" pixels only, rather than all the pixels - corrected and uncorrected - in surrounding area.



— *Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments.*

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

METHOD AND APPARATUS TO PROVIDE INTERACTIVE IMAGE CORRECTION FOR  
HEAVILY DAMAGED IMAGES

**CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

This application is related to U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 60/173,020, TITLED "Method to Correct Defects in Digital Images by Healing Across Defective Pixels," and U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 60/173,035, titled "Method and Apparatus for Correcting Large Defects in Digital Images by Stretching Across Defective Pixels," filed December 23, 1999, and which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety as if fully set forth herein.

10

**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to image processing and, particularly, to an improved system and method for correcting defects in images.

**DESCRIPTION OF THE RELATED ART**

15

Digitized images often include imperfections that are not present in the original image. One cause of such defects may be the components of the image capturing system. For example, a scanning surface, or platen, may contain scratches and other optical path obstructions. These optical path obstructions are digitized along with the original image and appear as imperfections in the digitized image.

20

Another cause of imperfections is defects within the physical medium of the image. For example, a photograph, film negative, or other physical medium may be scratched or deformed despite careful handling. In addition, dust, hair, smudges, and the like may be deposited on the surface of the physical medium and will be digitized along with the original image. These defects will also appear in the digitized image as defects.

25

Conventional software programs are available to identify such defects. Such programs typically create "binary" defect maps that mark each pixel in the defect map as defective or non-defective. Other programs may also provide "continuous"

## 2

defect maps, wherein each pixel is marked with a defect value proportional to the severity of any defect in the pixel.

Once a pixel has been marked as defective, conventional imaging programs replace the defective pixel with a replacement amplitude value determined from amplitudes of the surrounding pixels within a predetermined area. This interpolated value is then used to "fill" the defective pixel.

After such automatic image correcting systems have been run, there may remain certain defects which the system cannot correct. Such defects may, for example, be of such amplitude as to result from a hole in the film. One conventional method allows the user to reset the system such that more pixels are marked for correction. However, increasing the range of pixels that are marked for correction can cause the image to become softer, thereby losing much of the detail. Another conventional method of dealing with such defects is to allow the user to manually identify and manually fill in the remaining defective pixels. However, visual inspection can be unreliable, and defective pixels can be overlooked.

Such conventional methods are further disadvantageous in that the fill pattern and color is derived from the surrounding pixels, including those which have been marked as defective. Consequently, the corrected pixel may not appear true to the image.

Conventional defect correction programs thus have several technical disadvantages when applied to heavily damaged images. For example, certain defect correction programs can soften image detail. Other image correction programs rely on visual inspection, which can be unreliable. Finally, the use of defective pixels for correction can result in inaccurate image correction.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

These and other drawbacks in the prior art are overcome in large part by a system and method for correcting defects in heavily damaged images

## 3

according to the present invention. In accordance with one implementation, a secondary defect map, defining heavily damaged portions of the image which were not corrected automatically, is generated. The secondary defect map allows the user to correct the defects by highlighting the uncorrected areas and applying a defect correction process to the uncorrected areas.

Thus, the defect correcting system and method provides an improved method for correcting heavily damaged images by generating a defect map of those defects that are so severe that an automatic defect correction system cannot correct them. The defect correcting system and method then provides the user with various options for correcting the defects. These include selection of a replacement value from a palette of values, interpolation between values, or stretching or healing across the defect region.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

A better understanding of the invention is obtained when the following detailed description is considered in conjunction with the following drawings, in which like reference numerals represent like features, in which:

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of an imaging system employing an image correcting system in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 2A-2B are diagrams illustrating exemplary primary and secondary defect maps;

FIG. 3 is a flowchart illustrating operation of an implementation of the present invention;

FIG. 4 is a flowchart illustrating operation of an implementation of the present invention;

FIG. 5 is a flowchart illustrating operation of an implementation of the present invention;

FIG. 6 is a flowchart illustrating operation of an implementation of the present invention;

FIG. 7 is a flowchart illustrating operation of an implementation of the present invention;

FIG. 8 is a flowchart illustrating operation of an implementation of the present invention;

5 FIG. 9 is a flowchart illustrating operation of an implementation of the present invention;

FIG. 10 is a flowchart illustrating operation of an implementation of the present invention; and

10 FIG. 11 is a flowchart illustrating operation of an implementation of the present invention.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

FIGS. 1-11 illustrate an image defect correcting system and method. As will be discussed in greater detail below, an image defect correcting system 15 according to the present invention uses a defect map of heavily defective regions of an image and allows a user to correct them by choosing a defect correction process. In one embodiment, the defect correcting process comprises determining a correction value for each pixel in a region from values of "good" pixels surrounding it.

20 Turning now to the drawings and, with particular attention to FIG. 1, an exemplary computer system 10 including image processing functionality according to the present invention is shown. The computer system 10 may be embodied as a known computer system, such as an IBM RS 6000 workstation, an IBM PC or PC-compatible computer, or an Apple G4 computer.

25 The computer system 10 implements an image processing system 12, such as a computer program stored in memory (not shown) and executable by one or more processors (not shown). The computer system 10 may further include an image input device 14. The image input device 14 may be embodied as a scanner or as any device suitable for providing an image to be processed.

The image processing system 12 includes an image correcting unit 16, such as a computer program, in accordance with the present invention. As will be discussed in greater detail below, the image correcting unit 16 uses a selection unit 18, including, for example, a defect map 20 for selecting a pixel for image correction. One method for generating a defect map is described in U.S. Patent No. 5,266,805, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety as if fully set forth herein. This method transmits infrared light at one surface of a film. A corresponding digitized infrared image is captured on this opposite side. Imperfections are identified because they substantially reduce or totally occlude the infrared image. The digitized infrared image thus provides an accurate map of the location and shape of image defects. Imperfections may then be corrected by conventional automatic interpolation methods.

However, certain defects are of sufficient magnitude that they cannot be corrected using automatic techniques. As such, according to an implementation 15 of the present invention, a second defect map 22 defining heavily defective pixels is generated, with the heavily defective pixels highlighted. A user interface (not shown) then gives the user the option of correcting the heavily defective pixels. If the user chooses to do so, the heavily defective pixels are corrected 20 using one of the user defined correction methods 19. The correction methods 19 include pixel stretching 21, healing 23, one-to-one replacement 25, defect region correction 27, interpolation 29, palette selection 31, or cut-and-paste method 33. It is noted that other methods may be employed; the figure is exemplary only.

Operation is illustrated more clearly with reference to FIG. 2A. FIG. 2A illustrates a defect map 200a, with each square representing a pixel. A pixel of interest 202, which is to be corrected, is denoted X. Defective pixels 204 are denoted d. For example, a pixel may be identified as being defective if its defectiveness value exceeds a predetermined threshold. The remaining pixels 205 are non-defective.

The defective pixels 202, 204 are relatively small and may be corrected

using a variety of image correcting schemes, such as Digital ICE, available from Applied Science Fiction, Inc. Another method is described in U.S. Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/158,501, titled "System and Method for Correcting Defects in Digital Images Using Selective Fill In from Surrounding Areas," filed October 8, 1999, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety as if fully set forth herein.

However, certain pixels may be so heavily defective that automatic correction programs do not correct them. That is, their level of defectiveness exceeds a predetermined threshold. An image correcting system according to 10 an implementation of the present invention generates a secondary defect map 22 (FIG. 1) of such heavily defective pixels and allows a user to correct them. Such a defect map 200b is shown in FIG. 2B. Heavily defective pixels 206 are denoted *h*.

As will be explained in greater detail below, a variety of methods may be 15 used to generate the secondary defect map 200b. In one embodiment, the secondary defect map 200b is generated at the same time the primary defect map 200a is generated, such as using a pixel by pixel defectiveness threshold comparison. In another embodiment, the secondary defect map 200b is generated after a first defect correcting method has been performed on the 20 image data. More particularly, after such a program automatically corrects pixels which can be corrected, a number of heavily damaged pixels may remain uncorrected. The image correcting program identifies these pixels and generates the secondary defect map therefrom. Once the secondary defect map has been generated, the user may correct heavily damaged pixels 206 by 25 selecting a defect correction method 14.

One such correction method is the stretching method 21 described in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 60/173,035, titled "Method and Apparatus for Correcting Large Defects in Digital Images by Stretching Across Defective Pixels," filed December 23, 1999. Another such correction method is the healing method 23

described in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 60/173,020, titled "Method to Correct Defects in Digital Images by Healing Across Defective Pixels," filed December 23, 1999.

Another method is a replacement method 25, in which a value for the pixel 208 is obtained using a value from an adjacent or nearby non-defective pixel. For example, in FIG. 2B, the value for pixel 211 may be used to replace the pixel 208.

According to a surrounding area method 27, a replacement value is obtained using only pixels from a surrounding area that are themselves non-defective. For example, as shown in FIG. 2B, the user may select pixel 208. The image correcting system identifies a correction region 210 surrounding the pixel 208. Then, the replacement value is obtained using the values of pixels within the non-defective region 210. For example, the values of the non-defective pixels 212 may be averaged to obtain the replacement value for the pixel 208.

According to an interpolation method 29, a user may select a plurality of nearby pixels and have a replacement value obtained by an interpolation process. For example, in FIG. 2B, the user can select a pixel 211 and a pixel 213. An interpolation is performed on the selected pixels and a replacement value is obtained.

Using a palette method 31, a replacement value may be obtained using a palette of values (not shown). The user selects a value from the palette to replace the defective pixel.

Finally, using a cut-and-paste method 33, the user can select a region on the defect map, cut it, and move it to lie atop the defective region. For example, with reference to FIG. 2B, the user can select the region 217, cut it, and copy it over to overlie the defective pixels *h* of the defect region 210.

Generation of the secondary defect map according to one implementation of the invention is illustrated with reference to FIG. 3. In a step 402, a primary

defect map 20 is generated by the selection unit 18. The primary defect map 20 is the defect map that will be initially used by the image correcting unit. In a step 404, the image correcting unit 16 compares defectiveness values for all the pixels in the defect map 20 to a threshold value. In a step 406, the image 5 correcting unit 16 and selection unit 18 generate the secondary defect map 22 using those pixels whose defectiveness was determined in step 404 to exceed the threshold value.

An alternate method for determining the secondary defect map 22 according to an implementation of the present invention is shown with reference 10 to FIG. 4. In a step 502, the selection unit 18 generates a primary defect map 20. In a step 504, the auto-correction process is run by the image correcting unit 16. In a step 506, the image correcting unit 16 determines which of the defective pixels have not been corrected. In a step 508, the image correcting unit 16 and selection unit 18 generate the secondary defect map 22 using those pixels.

15 Operation of an implementation of the present invention is illustrated with reference to the flowchart of FIG. 5. In a step 510, the image correcting unit 16 and selection unit 18 correct the image using a first defect map 20. In a step 504, the image correcting unit 16 and selection unit 18 display the secondary defect map 22, identifying pixels which were not corrected on the first defect map 20. In a step 514, the user selects one of the correction methods 19 to use in 20 correcting defects on the defect map 22. In a step 516, the image correcting unit 16 uses the selected correction method 19 to correct the defects on the defect map 22.

For example, FIG. 6 illustrates pixel replacement using the stretching and 25 healing methods 21, 23 described above. In a step 802, a defect region is selected on the secondary defect map 22. In a step 804, the user selected stretching method 21 or healing method 23 is used to correct the defect.

FIG. 7 illustrates pixel replacement using the individual replacement method 25. In a step 702, the user selects a pixel on the secondary defect map

22 for replacement. In a step 704, the user selects a pixel whose value(s) will be used to replace the defective pixel. In a step 706, the defective pixel is replaced.

FIG. 8 illustrates a surrounding area method 27. In a step 602, a user selects a pixel on the secondary defect map 22. In a step 604, the image correcting unit 16 and selection unit 18 define a correction region around the defective pixel. In a step 606, the defective pixel is corrected using values derived from the pixels in the correction region.

FIG. 9 illustrates an interpolation method 29. In a step 902, a user selects a defective pixel in the secondary defect map 22 for correction. In a step 904, the user selects one or more other pixels to be used for correction. In a step 10 906, an interpolation is performed on the selected pixels to obtain a single value. In a step 908, the value is used to replace the defective pixel.

FIG. 10 illustrates a palette method 31. In a step 910, a user selects a pixel for correction in the secondary defect map 22. In a step 912, the image correcting unit generates a palette of replacement values. For example, the palette may show colors of pixels that are located near the defective pixel. In a step 914, the user selects a value from the palette. In a step 916, the value is used to replace the defective pixel.

A cut-and-paste method 33 is shown in FIG. 11. In a step 920, a user selects a replacement region 217 (FIG. 2B). In a step 922, the user copies or cuts the region 217. In a step 924, the region is positioned to overlie a defective region.

The invention described in the above detailed description is not intended to be limited to the specific form set forth herein, but is intended to cover such alternatives, modifications and equivalents as can reasonably be included within the spirit and scope of the appended claims. To aid the Patent Office and any readers of any patent issued on this application in interpreting the claims appended hereto, applicants wish to note that they do not intend any of the appended claims to invoke paragraph 6 of 35 U.S.C. Sec. 112 as it exists on the

10

date of filing hereof unless the words "means for" or "step for" are used in the particular claim.

## CLAIMS

## WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

- 5        1. A method, comprising:  
              providing an image correcting unit for correcting an image using a first defect map;  
              providing a selection unit for displaying a second defect map of pixels that were not corrected using said first defect map; and  
10        providing a method for selecting one or more defective pixels in said second defect map for correction.
- 15        2. A method according to claim 1, including providing a method for using values of nondefective pixels within a correction region surrounding said one or more defective pixels to obtain a replacement value for said one or more defective pixels.
- 20        3. A method according to claim 2, said displaying a second defect map comprising comparing one or more pixels in said first defect map with a threshold value.
- 25        4. A method according to claim 2, said displaying a second defect map comprising determining which pixels on said first defect map were not corrected using said first defect map.
5.        A method for correcting an image, comprising: displaying a secondary defect map of pixels that were not corrected using a primary defect map.
6.        A method according to claim 5, including using values of nondefective

## 12

pixels within a correction region surrounding one or more defective pixels on said secondary defect map to obtain a replacement value for said one or more defective pixels.

5        7. A method according to claim 5, said displaying a secondary defect map comprising comparing one or more pixels in said primary defect map with a threshold value.

10        8. A method according to claim 5, said displaying a secondary defect map comprising determining which pixels on said primary defect map were not corrected using said primary defect map.

15        9. A system for correcting an image, comprising:  
                an image input device;  
                an image correcting unit adapted to correct said image using a first defect map;  
                a selection unit configured to display a second defect map of pixels that were not corrected using said first defect map;  
                wherein said selection unit is further configured to select one or more defective pixels in said second defect map for correction.

20        10. A system according to claim 9, said image correcting unit configured to use values of nondefective pixels within a correction region surrounding said one or more defective pixels to obtain a replacement value for said one or more defective pixels.

25        11. A system according to claim 10, said selection unit adapted to compare one or more pixels in said first defect map with a threshold value.

## 13

12. A system according to claim 9, said selection unit configured to determine which pixels on said first defect map were not corrected using said first defect map.

5 13. A system comprising:

a selection unit adapted to display a secondary defect map of pixels that were not corrected using a primary defect map; and

a user interface operable to receive instructions from a user for choosing a user correction method for correcting the pixels of the secondary defect map.

10

14. A system according to claim 13, wherein the user correction method comprises using values of nondefective pixels within a correction region surrounding one or more defective pixels on said secondary defect map to obtain a replacement value for said one or more defective pixels.

15

15. A system according to claim 14, said selection unit adapted to compare one or more pixels in said primary defect map with a threshold value.

20

16. A system according to claim 14, said selection unit adapted to determine which pixels on said primary defect map were not corrected using said primary defect map.

1/3

FIG. 1





FIG. 2A



2/3

FIG. 2B




FIG. 3




FIG. 4





FIG. 11



3/3

FIG. 5




FIG. 8




FIG. 6




FIG. 9




FIG. 7





FIG. 10



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internal Application No

PCT/US 00/35323

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
IPC 7 G06T5/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 7 G06T H04N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, INSPEC

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	DE 196 36 867 C (PHILIPS PATENTVERWALTUNG) 2 January 1998 (1998-01-02)	1,2,5,6,
A	column 1, line 22 - line 29 column 2, line 54 - line 59 column 3, line 44 - line 55 column 4, line 59 -column 5, line 50; figure 3	9,10 7,11
X	WO 98 31142 A (APPLIED SCIENCE FICTION INC) 16 July 1998 (1998-07-16)	1,5,9
A	page 5, line 8 - line 22	2,6,10, 13
	page 6, line 33 -page 8, line 24	
A	EP 0 624 848 A (EASTMAN KODAK CO) 17 November 1994 (1994-11-17)	1-16
	page 3, line 14 - line 35	
	page 8, line 15 - line 31	
	---	
	-/-	

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents :

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- \*&\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
17 May 2001	25/05/2001
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer  Kröner, S

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**International Application No  
PCT/US 00/35323**C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	EP 0 569 142 A (IBM) 10 November 1993 (1993-11-10) cited in the application column 1, line 10 - line 16 column 4, line 44 - line 56 -----	1,5,9,13

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/US 00/35323

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)			Publication date
DE 19636867 C	02-01-1998	NONE			
WO 9831142 A	16-07-1998	AU 5810398 A			03-08-1998
		BR 9714262 A			25-01-2000
		EP 0950316 A			20-10-1999
EP 0624848 A	17-11-1994	JP 6333041 A			02-12-1994
EP 0569142 *A	10-11-1993	US 5266805 A			30-11-1993
		AT 172070 T			15-10-1998
		CA 2091081 A,C			06-11-1993
		DE 69321390 D			12-11-1998
		DE 69321390 T			02-06-1999
		JP 2559970 B			04-12-1996
		JP 6028468 A			04-02-1994
		SG 43734 A			14-11-1997